

The following is an interview with Mr. Robert WitbolsFeugen. It was conducted on 11/24/99 starting at approximately 1012 hours. Also present in the interview is Sgt. Judy Farnsworth.

Q. Mr. WitbolsFeugen, you contacted me yesterday on the telephone and requested to come in, I presume to share some additional information. Is that correct?

A. Whatever I can, yes.

Q. Okay. What is it that you would like to give to me today?

A. Well, as you know, back a couple months ago I said that I'd been working on a presentation of information that I had previously shared with you and updated, and wanted to make available to you on a disk form and so you can have this disk. It's full. Essentially, the information on that is what I've previously shared with you. Additional information was added, but now it's in the format of Microsoft Excel. And I thought I'd like to share that with you because I found some interesting things when I was able to manipulate the data as Excel will allow me to do. And I've made a print essentially of some information that, that is on the tape, or on the disk. And, not knowing if you're familiar with Excel, you're able to arrange these columns, any column that you want to . . .

Q. I'm familiar with it.

A. Okay. So in this, this one essentially arranges it in numerical order which is the data of the vehicles that I've seen. There's a few extras in there now that I had not previously been able to . . .

Q. What's the total number of vehicles now?

A. Well, that list shows about 40. It starts with 10. That's just because I couldn't, it wouldn't start with one. But I think there's 56 there, or 46 there.

Q. This is the list of the vehicles that you saw while you were standing at the Truman Road entrance to Mt. Washington Cemetery between 11:45 and midnight on October 22, is this correct?

A. Yes. Particularly of interest, I did assign group numbers to these determining what category I thought these vehicles might be arranged in and where in essence I found confirmation that I saw these vehicles. In other other words when I took the photographs, I knew they belonged to certain groups. There's a percentage figure on here which I determine as I'm 100% sure that these vehicles were there.

And interestingly enough, those belong to a particular group of individuals by the category numbers 1 through 6, actually. Note that, for instance, this vehicle which is the Oldsmobile Achieva S I'm absolutely certain that vehicle was there. However, it is not identified with any more data than I know it had Texas plates. I know that it was white. It's saying, essentially, that I know 100% that this data that I present here is accurate. Not that I've 100% determined that, who the vehicle owner is. You can see that on the far right column, there's still plenty of blank lines there. Hopefully, you will be able to fill them in as to who these vehicle owners are. But these are my guesses. I don't know for sure. But if I was to determine that these vehicles belong to somebody, I took a guess at them. So, I guess I cannot say for 100% certain that the, gee, can't even see it, that the light blue car here is identified as the Plymouth Reliant, baby blue Plymouth Reliant. I'm 95 % sure that I could attribute that to that owner. That vehicle. There's only one car in Kansas City meeting that description. If you can find another, I'd be interested. Anyway, with that data manipulated as such, it might help you determine exactly what went on that evening. I have photographs as that indicates.

Q. Are the photographs not on your disk?

A. The photographs are not on the disk, no.

Q. Are these the same photographs you submitted to me before?

A. There are some additional photographs in this photo album which were not previously shared with you, nor were on electronic data. I don't think I've shared them all with you. However, . . .

Q. Are these photographs you can leave?

A. No, they are not. But they'll let you know that those records exist. Don't have a photograph there, but I did manage to put in a little note that that's where they fit in. One of the previous vehicles, feel free to look through that. One of the previous vehicles that I mentioned seeing and never have been able to reconfirm by a second sighting, was the black Datsun Z car with the cut fenders that were exposed. And that, that particular trademark could easily be confirmed and the position of that vehicle in the sequence of cars indicates that it was probably one of the people who were acquaintances of my daughter. Since you previously are aware that the owner of a Datsun was Abraham Kneisly. I wonder if you've been able to confirm whether he owned a car that was a black Datsun with cut fenders or missing bumpers or something of that like. I suspect this vehicle, which I've never been able to have a second sighting on, may be primary to solving this crime. Unfortunately, it's not available and when I look back at the information that I have regarding Mr. Abraham Kneisly's ownership of a vehicle, it seems that he was bumming rides a lot from Byron. In fact, for the next three or four months Mr. Abraham Kneisly is seen everywhere with Byron Case and he never seems to

be driving his own vehicle. The disappearance of that vehicle, I think, if confirmed, at least might make you very suspicious as to why it's no longer on the road. I've developed a scenario which may include that vehicle being the actual scene of the crime. That, and those are upside down for a purpose in that those are just additional vehicles that I've taken pictures of. They're on a possible list that I'm still working on. However, back to the fact that Abraham Kneisly car is in non-existent condition shortly after the murder, makes me wonder if that wouldn't be the scene of the crime. Uhm, . . .

Q. Then you believe the scene of the crime would be other than the Lincoln Cemetery?

A. Well, not on, at the location where she was discovered. She was moved to that location, either from 10 feet away or from 50 feet away. Information that you gathered at the crime scene would indicate whether she was carried by one person, drug by two, I mean carried by two people or drug by one person, would the heels of her shoes be worn if she were drug, would there be an impression of who carried her or hand and arm prints on the body indicating that she was moved? Would the blood stains on her garments be such that it indicated the body was moved, or did she just drop dead where she was? And I think you know that information. And I think it's really unfortunate that you have not shared that with me because I think that I could be a big help to you in developing further scenarios as to what may have happened. At any rate, you should already know that information and you should be trying to confirm that with the people on that list. Because that I know is a fact of that evening. Because this investigation has taken two years, I have granted you some leeway and in looking at this from my perspective, you must know that I'm very frustrated at what appears as apparent progress in this case. And I think it's about time that you confirm for me that you're actually doing something with this.

Q. Do you have any more information you would like to add to this today?

A. Yes. I'm aware of friction within this department that makes me suspect that you have reason not to share the information that you've gained through your investigation with any other members of this office.

Q. Excuse me, I missed something there? Are you saying that I'm withholding information from my superiors or my department?

A. Yeah, that would be what I perceive.

Q. Okay.

A. Either that or . . .

Q. Do you have a specific example?

- A. A specific example would be Capt. Phillips making statements that this case is more than likely a murder-suicide. While you are saying no suspects have been released from suspicion, or whatever terminology you use there. That no one has been cleared. And he seems to have a direct linkage to my daughter's boyfriend who is also found dead. Now, I must ask you, is Justin a more-than-likely suspect? A primary suspect in this case?
- Q. Just as you prepare many scenarios of what may or may not have happened, so do we. And that would be one of them.
- A. Well, you know, if you use that scenario, you would also have to recognize that the alibis used in determining whether Justin was a suspect or not were also the same as those that were used by those people in her company that evening. And if you can still say this is a possible scenario, then I'm going to draw the conclusion that the people that were with her that evening are still suspects.
- Q. Byron Case was given immunity from prosecution in exchange for any information that he may be withholding. And even with that, he stayed with the same circumstances that he started out with from the beginning of this investigation.
- A. That's because he doesn't have any immunity from the people on that list who are going to see that their justice is done if he says anything. And by this department's track record on protecting witnesses, I'd say that he . . .
- Q. I'm not going to beg, nor am I going to sit here while you criticize. You've done that both publicly and nationwide and worldwide.
- A. Hmmm.
- Q. So, do you have any additional information that you would like to present to me today?
- A. Well, as you know, I mentioned in the past that I distinctly remember seeing a gathering of individuals on the bridge, the bridge above Truman Road on whatever, Blue Summit Road, Blue, the cut-off road that runs over the hill there by the cemetery. And well, there were a lot of people up there and I just hope that in your investigation you've determined that the alibis used wouldn't include that possible sighting. I mean, you're not going to get a confession out of Mr. Case. You're not going to probably get a confession out of anybody on that list. Because of the reasons I suspect are prevailing. A reward, the idea that if I had 30 people that could place me at a murder scene, I certainly wouldn't want to be shooting off my mouth. And I think that's the situation that prevails here. The only way that you're going to approach and get any progress, is that you're going to have conflicting information from these people where they say they were and

eventually you're going to be able to use that information against each other. They'll say, oh I was at such and such a party. You told me, one of the, what if they just say they went to a party in Independence that evening? Well, did you confirm that with all the participants? Did you get a list of those people that may have been at that party to confirm that they were there, actually there? Are they the same that are on this list that I present to you? Is that just a coincidence? Well, if that's not the scenario and the information that I receive regarding the possible suspects, primary suspects, Byron, Kelly, did they, people's names that are listed there, did they also say they went to Independence, or do they have some other valid alibi that can withstand that? Sure like to think that this investigation was pursuing that. Like I said, I don't think you're going to get anybody to step forward with, with making any confession and finking on their friends at this point. They certainly haven't shown any inclination to break down here, but then I look at what might be exerted as pressure for them to do that. Like I said, Byron's held up to his lie so far. I'm at least encouraged to hear that you are trying to negotiate with him. Okay? I won't go further into that. On this case, I got these questions I drew up months ago. I sent you a list. I guess there's nothing really on here that's going to give you any more information about the crime. You asked me once if I had any physical evidence from the crime scene and I said no at that time. Then I started thinking, well, what possibly could have been considered the crime scene since I'm assuming it's the Lincoln Cemetery. At least by some scenarios. I'm thinking, okay, I can reasonably suspect that my daughter was at my home that evening. And that at my home that evening, or the next day, I discovered the stun gun. And unfortunately, that was never gathered as information, as evidence. But I think it may lead to a possible scenario, the confirmation thereof. Unfortunately, Mr. Kellogg, who came out to the house handled that item and destroyed any possibility for that to be used with fingerprint evidence. Also, I mentioned that I had found a bone fragment or a possible what looked to me like a bone fragment, skull fragment, at the scene.

Q. Do you have anything in your possession now?

A. I may have gathered up from the scene 9 mm shells which I have no idea how they got there.

Q. Now you say, you MAY have gathered.

A. Right. I gathered up from the scene months later, 9 mm shells.

Q. Where were those gathered at?

A. Right at the little island where you told me her body was discovered. I'm sure that had they been there, you would have gathered them up, too.

Q. Yes.

- A. Okay. I, within weeks of the murder, I picked up from the scene, broken glass fragments, what appeared to be vehicle, automobile vehicle glass, safety glass. That's one of the reasons why I'm still suspicious about the disappearance of that one particular vehicle from the scene, because . . .
- Q. Where did you find those fragments?
- A. Right at that island. At the turn-around island in Lincoln Cemetery.
- Q. Did you photograph these items that you found, or did you do any measurements, drawings, anything to indicate where they were at?
- A. No. But, as I said, it had been several weeks and I'm sure that if they were in the road, so if they had been run over, measurements wouldn't have been available to me or meant anything. But it does make me rather suspicious about the scene. And . . .
- Q. Do you have some idea, since you believe that was not the place that she was murdered, do you have some idea where she actually was killed?
- A. Well, that would be easily determined by the length of time it was before she got at the location where she was found. The amount of blood that was at the scene and so forth. And so forth. It probably wasn't very far since the initial report indicates that she was lying in a pool of blood. Of course, it didn't say that that pool of blood was apparent that she was drug across the street or anything. But I'm thinking that I being the owner of the vehicle that a person was murdered in, the only way to destroy that evidence would be to destroy the vehicle.
- Q. That being a vehicle that was once owned by Abraham Kneisly?
- A. Mmmm. Yeah. That, I was searching in the process of these cars when I came across on Truman Road, it was a little dealership not too far from Winner Road and Truman, that I saw a burned out vehicle, pretty much like what I had seen on Truman Road that evening. And I stopped to take a look at it and it was the body, the shell of a car that had apparently been burned out. I thought, just a junker. I can't identify that. I mean, it was gutted out, all the upholstery was gone. The interior was gone. I said, pssst. But it made me think. Okay. If there was a crime scene and you had to protect it and it wasn't at the Lincoln Cemetery and it may have been in a vehicle, you would have to destroy that vehicle by burning it out. Well, who do I know that doesn't suddenly have a vehicle? It would be Abraham Kneisly. Who do I see helping Abraham Kneisly? Byron Case. Hmm. I don't, you know, I, it only leads me to think that maybe there's something there. Obviously I'm not going to be able to confirm that with any conversation I'm having with you, but I'm just sharing that with you because I think that's a very strong possibility. No, I don't have anything further. I will have further information requested from your office, that will probably be denied, but I . . .

Q. What is that?

A. Well, specifically it would be the autopsy results of my daughter's, the autopsy. You stated, it's been stated previously by that medical examiner that that report would be unavailable. I'm wondering, am I not entitled to know a few facts about my daughter's death?

Q. Do you think then that the entire case file should just be opened up for public view? Is this what you're getting at?

A. No. What I'm asking is that previously I had requested any information regarding my daughter's death that you might be able to share with me to give me some peace of mind about this office proceeding in a most forthright manner and doing what it can for the victim of this crime. I'm asking you, is there any information that you can give me regarding my daughter's murder? Her death?

Q. As to who did it? Is this what you're looking for?

A. No. No. Well, yes, eventually.

Q. As I've told you before, I'm not going to discuss details of the investigation that may be very important somewhere down the road should something actually develop. Just as in any investigation, we don't give out all the information that you have.

A. Well.

Q. I might as well open the book and offer it to the general public and then be done with it.

A. They've offered, your department has offered up a few scenarios that would . . .

Q. And so have you.

A. Your department speaks more loudly that I do regarding scenarios of her death and when I see that the scenarios that have been shared ask the public to regard this case as more than likely murder/suicide, I become suddenly suspect as to your motives for sharing that information, even before you're willing to share any information regarding that possibility with me. I have asked several times. I've said, do you have any really scenarios that you could say, hey Justin was at that scene? Can you tell me, is it likely, I mean, the testimony that's previously been shared with me indicate that they left her by the roadside and traveled 15 miles away. That kinda puts them apart as, and apparently they were both alive when that took place. Would you kindly tell me how you develop a scenario that includes Justin as a possible suspect here? Yeah. Okay. That's where I'm at.

I'm going like, well if this is really a possible scenario, how did you get there? From what I know of the scene, what I know of the crime as it's unfolded, the testimony that's been given, how did you possibly get to that scenario? I can't think of a way. If it's a diversion, please share that with me.

Q. I have no reason to intentionally divert you.

A. Do you have reason to intentionally divert the public?

Q. I personally do not, no.

A. Apparently some people in this office do.

Q. Well, I can only speak for myself and what I do.

A. Okay. Fair enough. But I'm saying, from my perspective, when I see that printed in the paper, and I . . .

Q. When you look at what happened to your daughter and then her boyfriend turns around and commits suicide a likely scenario is that he had something to do with her murder.

A. A likely scenario is that he had some knowledge of what went on that evening. You're drawing a whole lot more. . .

Q. Use whatever word you wish . . .

A. Well, it's very important what word you wish.

Q. . . . as some connection . . .

A. Hmmm. The connection would be that that alibi doesn't hold up.

Q. The alibi of?

A. The alibi of apparently she got out of the car. I mean, look at it. If that's what is a connection to him saying . . .

Q. An attendant at a gas station right there at the corner recalls a girl exiting and walking out from a car in that area so that holds a little bit to some of the alibis you refer.

A. Sure.

Q. Well, apparently you don't believe that.

- A. Apparently upon talking to that witness . . .
- Q. And that witness's name is that you talked to is?
- A. I don't have it on the end of my lips, but it's an older female attendant working at the gas station there, the Amoco.
- Q. That is not the attendant I am referring to.
- A. The attendant who told me that same story couldn't even remember my face.
- Q. We obviously talked to two different people.
- A. Well, yeah. I don't hold much water with that information. I don't think it likely. Because it doesn't explain a lot of other things that I know to be true. So. You can use that to develop a possible scenario if you wish, but it still indicates that if I were to commit suicide as Justin is said to have done, before it becomes public information that she's dead, wouldn't that kinda mean that, kinda act irrationally?
- Q. That means to me that at the least he had some knowledge of her death.
- A. And how . . .
- Q. Since Justin's not available for interview or able to share those things with me, I don't know how he learned about it.
- A. It just, yeah. Unfortunate, but he must have learned it from somebody. Somebody must have seen it. If he's still suspect, and I think it unlikely that after he reports that she got out of the car and that he reportedly goes back to his condominium, and speaks to me about that evening, that he goes back out in that area. And what?
- Q. He told you that he went back out there?
- A. No. No.
- Q. I must have misunderstood what you just said.
- A. Well, I'm going like, if he, if she was alive when he left, and she is dead later and the alibi that she used is that she gets out of the car, I'm finding it difficult to say that anyone else who Justin was with that night wouldn't have also have known the facts of her death. Okay. Well, we won't go any farther with that. I'm apparently stressed out by the situation. So, well, I'm not entirely satisfied with what I see as developing fresh scenarios. I'm not at all satisfied with this department's actions in the Karen Turner case. It would seem that this office

would be a lot more interested in protecting someone who came forward with information on a crime . . .

Q. I think the avenues that Ms. Turner pursued on that, she has exhausted, unless she has some other actions that she wants to try, which she is more than . . .

A. One of them is that she was being harassed by unknown suspects and this department refused to investigate. At least that's their public statements.

Q. No. I offered and I commenced it and she requested that it stop.

A. And there's no one else in this department that could pursue that information?

Q. Could be. But since I was the most familiar with the situation.

A. Yes, I guess it's all in your hands, that's for sure. She requested that you have no contact with her.

Q. Karen Turner's case is separate from yours.

A. Well, I'm glad to hear you say that.

Q. Thank you. So I prefer not to discuss it.

A. And does that mean that because her daughter was the person that came forward with the scenario that essentially linked Byron to the murder scene, that her issue is distinctly separate from this case?

Q. Maybe you have some different technique to extract the truth from individuals, you know, that would work better than what we use.

A. Apparently I do.

Q. You do?

A. Yeah.

Q. Then who killed your daughter?

A. Well, I don't have the answer to that yet.

Q. Well, then I go back to when I say . . .

A. Even if I did, it wouldn't do much . . .

- Q. . . . now as far as Paige Turner goes, she offered some information, I tried to confirm that information. That individual that she supposedly got that information from, said no, that is not true. I did not say that. I never heard that.
- A. And supposedly you . . .
- Q. Do you have a different way that you would like for me to try to confirm that?
- A. If you had, if you were presented at deposition from a person that said that that is what Steve Elliott said, would that mean anything to you? Would it mean anything to you if we had a deposition that said they heard Byron say the same story?
- Q. Do you have another individual that heard that?
- A. Would you be interested?
- Q. You know I would, and I've asked you that before.
- A. Because I stated that there is such a . . .
- Q. Well, who is this person?
- A. I don't that person yet. Oh, we know who that person is. I want you to know . . .
- Q. Well would you mind sharing that with me?
- A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Then how do you expect me to do anything if you're withholding information.
- A. I want you to know that the story that Steve denied is one that he was heard saying by several other people and we've shared that information with you.
- Q. Who else heard Byron Case say?
- A. Anna Huntsinger. Of course you won't go to her.
- Q. Is she the one that lives in Pennsylvania?
- A. Yeah. She heard it. She repeated it. It was heard frequently.
- Q. Okay. So she was there at the same time that Steve Elliott was.
- A. I'm thinking that she wasn't even there at the same time that Steve Elliott was, but that she heard Byron say it, too. And there apparently is someone else whose

name I do not know that we have not yet had that deposition from. But I have assurances that that individual would confirm what, and this is where I become unclear, as to whether he heard it directly from Byron or whether heard it from Steve. But would swear that that story was repeated by one of those two people. I know it makes a big difference as to whom he would swear he heard say that. But, knowing, or at least believing I hope, that such a person exists, might make you seriously consider that the information that Steve denied has a distinct possibility of being true and that you should heavily include that information in evaluating the evidence that you have. Because . . .

Q. Which would implicate Byron Case.

A. Exactly. Which, gee whiz!

Q. What avenue would you like for me to pursue to get Byron Case to change his story? He was granted immunity from prosecution.

A. Well . . . Immunity doesn't mean anything in this case because . . .

Q. It means a great deal.

A. What are you going to do, put him in protective custody until you round up this bunch?

Q. Well, if he's the one that was there, and he had any hand in killing her, I think we would be pretty much . . .

A. It's not only from the people that were at the scene, it's from everyone who learns of what happened. And essentially, if a friend of a friend says that you're going to put somebody behind, behind bars or send him to the electric chair, that might not be a very wise thing for someone to have made a deal to save their own skin for. Because you just turned on my friend, buddy. And immunity in this case is not going to protect Byron from that. So, I think, I think probably, and I suggested before, that that you confirm that Kelly was actually with Byron that evening. Kelly and Byron are no longer an item, and I feel that Kelly may, given the right information, or led to believe that you have information that says this never happened, and I'll concede that if it is a possibility that she got out of the car, that may be the last clue as to what Kelly actually knew of that evening. But, that would have to then lead you to inquiring as to Brahm and Alex, who had very strong feelings about my daughter's death. Mmm, confirming whether they have alibis that could stand up to being seen on the road that evening. My guess is that you'll get something to break down there. But if Byron's best friend is implicated by this situation . . .

Q. Meaning Justin.

A. Not necessarily, because he gets a pretty darn buddy relationship with this Mr. Abraham Kneisly that, it's possible that that's what's being referred to as his closest friend. I wouldn't think it to include too many others, but uhm, and I don't think you're going to get Mr. Kniesly to say anything against Byron either. Offering him any immunity deal, where you can essentially frame him as a suspect, and I don't mean that in the loose term "frame him", but confirm what happened to his car. Confirm for me, for his alibi, why so darn many of their friends are seen on the road that evening. Did their alibis include that? One of the documents I gave to you and shared with you on that disk there, will be a philosophy of Donald Davidson. You might want to read it regarding confirmation of motives, actions, through a physical and mental plane. In other words, do the excuses hold up to the validity of the physical evidence and does the physical evidence confirm the excuses or alibis of the mental reality. It's not quite as weighty as what I lay out there, but I think it may assist at least attend to, some of those questions that you may have still outstanding as to whether Byron's story is really the truth or not. Because the actions that he takes for the reasons that he states that he takes them, don't match up to what physically we can place him to be doing. What verbal confirmations? Let me ask, do you have confirmation that Byron contacted Justin's father? I spoke with Justin's father and he says that Byron left a message on his answering machine telling him about that evening. That was the reason why Mr. Case came to Kansas City. Did Byron make that phone call from home? Was that on his long distance bill? What time did he make that phone call?

Q. He spoke with Justin's father, yeah.

A. He didn't speak with Justin's father, at least that's not what Justin's father says. Justin's father says Byron left a message on an answering machine. He wasn't home at the time. Justin's father didn't speak with Byron until he got to Kansas City. The fact that Byron said that he got a phone call and talked to Justin the morning after, and I spoke with Byron's mother, and she says yeah, Justin called Byron and Byron was sleeping and couldn't come to the phone. Well, when did Byron really talk to Justin that morning? I don't know. But I have conflicting information about how that happened. Do you have that same information? I know that Byron's mother says she couldn't get Byron out of bed. Well, was Byron even home? Justin calling, Justin calling. Byron says he talked to Justin that morning by phone. Or did he really talk to him by phone? Who can confirm that? I don't know. It looks awful suspicious to me. I hope you have more information. These things that happened very soon after my daughter's death, lead to all sorts of different possibilities which should eliminate a lot of scenarios that you may have. I hope you're doing something about that. Can you confirm that Byron had a gun? I talked to many people that say Byron had guns. Does Byron say he has a gun? Has he ever been put on the wire for that? Or whatever terminology you might, did you ever confront him about that? Did you confront him about buying Justin's gun? That big rifle that I told you about with the scope

on it? The one that he supposedly had. Wouldn't that indicate Byron's likelihood for telling the truth in this case? Uh, I think it might.

- Q. You're the only one who has suggested he had a high-powered rifle and scope.
- A. Mmm-hmm. Well, it was suggested to me by my daughter. A source I really trust. Gee, I wonder why they're keeping that one a secret. The secret is no one else will confirm its existence. I think that's a little suspicious. I think that's a little suspicious, yeah. My daughter's creating stories about Justin having a gun? Something that she reports having looked through the scope. I just, I just can't figure a reason for her telling me that. Can you confirm that Byron had a pistol? With a pearl handle? Uh, gee. Gosh, could that have been the murder weapon?
- Q. What was your source of information for the pistol with the pearl handle?
- A. Tara, and my daughter.
- Q. When did Tara tell you that?
- A. It must have been the only time I've really had any conversation with her.
- Q. And you recorded that?
- A. I believe I did, yeah.
- Q. And I don't recall it being on the tape.
- A. Hmm, well. Okay. Does Byron say he doesn't have a gun?
- Q. He says he didn't own a gun, he doesn't own a gun.
- A. He doesn't own a gun. Never did own it.
- Q. I said, didn't own a gun.
- A. Mmm. Wonder what that terminology means, owning a gun. Did you ever have a gun in your hand? That might be the question to ask. Ownership of these people thinking . . .
- Q. How about questions like, were you there when Anastasia was killed? How about questions like, did you kill Anastasia?
- A. Did he take a lie detector test?
- Q. No.

- A. I didn't think so.
- Q. He didn't refuse to.
- A. Yeah, right. Of course he wouldn't. He's a two-bit liar.
- Q. Maybe you give him too much credit.
- A. Well, maybe I don't give you enough. I'm sorry. But from my perspective, you'll have to understand. I don't see anything happening in this case except a lot of these people getting new cars. These cars are not on the road anymore. Where did they go? Uhm, question. Uhm, the officer who drives vehicle number 94 was presented a list. Did he ever turn that in to you?
- Q. Do you have name to go with that number?
- A. No. Thin lanky fellow. Probably in his late 30's, maybe early 40's. Balding.
- Q. Sgt. Farnsworth: 94 is Hicks.
- Q. Hicks? He's not tall and lanky though.
- A. No, I didn't say he was tall, I just said he was lanky.
- Q. (Sgt. Farnsworth and Sgt. Kilgore, simultaneously) Not even lanky.
- A. Hmm. Well, 97? I'm going from memory here. I made a note on my previous fax, other fax and tidbits thing that on October 22, 1998, an officer was at, on the bridge at Truman Rd. and Blue Summit, whatever road that is. I said well, as long as you're out here, you might as well know what you're looking for. And I gave him the list. I just wondered if an officer came forward with that list.
- Q. I seem to recall one of the deputies talking to me about having made contact with you.
- A. Well, I also have notes on that disregarding my conversations with Mr. Epperson. The man, the deputy that found my daughter's body. And you know, I'm just curious of, as to his actions, his reasons for lengthy conversation with me that same evening. And well, he may be trying his hand at being a detective or something, but. His motives are definitely suspect in my mind.
- Q. So you think a deputy may be involved with the death of your daughter?
- A. I think he was deliberately trying to mislead me that evening. That's all I can conclude from having a conversation with him. He does state specifically to me, and, that, that, I'm sorry if I'm lengthy here, but he does state to me that he's on

duty that evening, and he leads me to believe that he's on duty from from 7:00 in the evening, til at least midnight. Obviously afterwards, because he discovered my daughter's body. He must have been on duty all that night. Then later he comes to me, or in questioning further, he says he didn't come on duty until midnight that night. Well how was it possible he would have seen me driving up and down the road. I would have definitely seen you if you were in the area. And his reason for going through the cemetery, they may have all sorts of personal reasons for cutting through the cemetery, but his, his accidental discovery of my daughter's body and the reason he gives me for it and the way it was portrayed in the press, it just makes me kinda uncomfortable with the real reason why an officer is in that location that evening.

Q. That was part of his district. It's regularly patrolled.

A. Mmm-hmm. And you told me that no officer was in the area that evening when, you know, the length of time, at least reportedly my daughter got out of the car, until much later that evening. I don't know the exact timeframes, but I know because I drove home that way every workday. That would have been, let's see, I worked until 6:00. I forget, I change my schedule so many times. Yeah, I think I worked till 6:00, but there was an officer parked at that location every time, except that evening, coincidentally. And have you been able to confirm that another officer ever pulled over my daughter at that location? That was one of the stories she told me. I was just kinda curious as to whether your records would indicate that one of your officers pulled over my vehicle, my daughter within well, it must have been less that 30 days.

Q. Who told you that?

A. My daughter. Just historically, can you confirm that? Do you know, I brought to you the issue, too, of Mr. Deputy 66, and his actions. I just, you know, I'm not real comfortable with the fallout on this case. And every time you think you're giving me some information that I, whatever suspect in this activity against Jackson County as you perceive it, also goes to confirming whether I have any confidence in this department. And currently my confidence level with this department is very low.

Q. Do you have anything additional you would like to present to me today?

A. I sure hope that you are moving on this case. That, in light of the lengthy list I've given you, those are the truest of my recollections. There may be a few vehicles on that road that I saw at a different time that evening, but it must have been between 9:30 or actually, probably between 10:00 and midnight. I may have seen a handful of those other vehicles that I somehow distinctly remember, but may have been seen within a couple hours that evening. Those would probably be listed as being confirmed as Independence city residents, category number 4 that's on the CD, uh pardon me, the little disk.

- Q. But if you have nothing new to offer, I'd like to go ahead and conclude this interview.
- A. I will be bringing you the deposition from the individual who will confirm the story that Steve reportedly told.
- Q. Meaning, Ann Huntsaker, is her name?
- A. Anna Huntsaker, no. Not from her. From another source, and I think it's been previously discussed with you other people that have stories that distinctly relate to a similar scenario. I'm reminded of one witness who apparently you've never questioned, was Shawn Johnson. Whatever his name being. Who said that the story at that time was that a number of individuals were at the cemetery. Don't know how accurate his information is. I didn't hear it first-hand, but I'm wondering, you know. Are you not interested in that scenario?
- Q. Do you have anything new?
- A. No.
- Q. This interview is concluded at approximately 1110 hours.

Sgt. Gary M. Kilgore